arXiv:1008.0903v1 [math.OA] 5 Aug 2010

DILATIONS OF INTERACTION GROUPS THAT EXTEND ACTIONS OF ORE SEMIGROUPS

FERNANDO ABADIE

Abstract. We show that every interaction group extending an action of an Ore semigroup by injective unital endomorphisms of a C^*-algebra, admits a dilation to an action of the corresponding enveloping group on another unital C^*-algebra, of which the former is a C^*-subalgebra: the interaction group is obtained by composing the action with a conditional expectation. The dilation is essentially unique if a certain natural condition of minimality is imposed. If the action is induced by covering maps on the spectrum, then the expectation is faithful.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The notions of interaction groups and their crossed products have been introduced and studied by Exel in [9], with the aim of dealing with irreversible dynamical systems. The mentioned paper emerges as a culmination of previous work in the subject appeared in [6], [8], [7], [11]. Related work may be found as well in [13], [3], [14], [5].

Recently, Exel and Renault studied in [10] a family of interaction groups that extend actions of some semigroups on unital commutative C^*-algebras.

Suppose that X is a compact Hausdorff space and $\theta : X \to X$ is a covering map. For $A = C(X)$, let $\alpha : A \to A$ be the dual map of θ, i.e.: $\alpha(a) = a \circ \theta$, which is a unital injective endomorphism of A. In case there exists a transfer operator ([6]) for α, that is, a positive linear map $\mathcal{L} : A \to A$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\alpha(a)b) = a\mathcal{L}(b), \forall a, b \in A$, then $V : \mathbb{Z} \to B(A)$ (here $B(A)$ is the algebra of bounded operators from A into itself) given by $V_n = \begin{cases} \alpha^n & n \geq 0 \\ \mathcal{L}^{-n} & n < 0 \end{cases}$ is called an interaction group (Definition 1.1). This interaction group is clearly an extension of the action $\bar{\alpha} : \mathbb{N} \times A \to A$ given by $(n, a) \mapsto \alpha^n(a)$. Conversely, it can be shown that if $W : \mathbb{Z} \to B(A)$ is an interaction group that extends $\bar{\alpha}$, then W_{-1} is a transfer operator for α, and W is retrieved from α and W_{-1} from the construction above. That is: interaction groups that extend $\bar{\alpha}$ are in a natural bijection with transfer operators for α. In the same way, interaction groups that extend the action of an Ore semigroup correspond to semigroups of transfer operators corresponding to the endomorphisms of the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Interaction groups.

Partially supported by CNPq, Brazil, Processo 151654/2006-9.

action. In the case of actions on commutative algebras the work in [10] shows that one can replace transfer operators by *cocycles* (see Definition 3.9). We will show later that an interaction group as the one above can be written as the composition of an action β with a conditional expectation F: $V_n = F\beta_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, a decomposition that reflects the combination of the deterministic and probabilistic elements included in the concept of interaction group.

On the other hand, it seems that interaction groups are closely related with partial actions. Propositions 1.3 and 1.7 below are instances of this relation. Moreover, under certain conditions one may construct interaction groups from actions of groups and conditional expectations, in a way that resembles the construction of partial actions by the restriction of global ones. In fact, suppose that A is a C^*-subalgebra of the unital C^*-algebra B, $F : B \to B$ is a conditional expectation with range A, and $\beta : G \times B \to B$ is an action of a group G on B. Let $F_t : B \to B$ be given by $F_t := \beta_t F \beta_{t^{-1}}$. Then F_t is a conditional expectation onto $\beta_t(A)$, $\forall t \in G$. It is not hard to prove that if $FF_t = F_tF$, $\forall t \in G$, then $V : G \to B(A)$ such that $V_t(a) = F(\beta_t(a))$, $\forall a \in A, t \in G$ is an interaction group (provided $F(\beta_t(1_A)) = 1_A$, $\forall t \in G$, see 1.2 below).

With the same spirit of the work done in [1], although with different methods, we show in the present paper that any interaction group that extends an action of an Ore semigroup by unital injective endomorphisms (for instance those studied in [10]) is of this form, that is, it can be obtained by composing an action with a conditional expectation. The existence of the action is due to Laca's Theorem (see [12] and Theorem 2.2 below) on the dilation of actions of Ore semigroups. The conditional expectation is constructed as the limit of the directed system of transfer operators corresponding to the endomorphisms of the Ore semigroup action.

The structure of the present paper is the following. In the rest of this section we study some relations between interaction groups and partial actions and we introduce the notion of dilation of an interaction group. In the next section we prove our main result, Theorem 2.4, and in the final one we see how this theorem applies, with a refinement, to the interaction groups studied by Exel and Renault in [10].

1.1. **Interaction groups.**

We show here how to get interaction groups from suitable pairs of actions and conditional expectations. Recall that a partial representation of a group G on a Banach space A is a map $V : G \to B(A)$, the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on A, such that

$$\begin{cases} V_e = Id & (e \text{ the unit of } G) \\ V_{s^{-1}}V_sV_t = V_{s^{-1}}V_{st} & \forall s,t \in G \\ V_sV_tV_{t^{-1}} = V_{st}V_{t^{-1}} & \forall s,t \in G \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.1. An interaction group is a triple (A, G, V) where A is a unital C^*-algebra, G is a group, and V is a map from G into $B(A)$, which satisfies:

(1) V_t is a positive unital map, $\forall t \in G$.
(2) V is a partial representation.
(3) $V_t(ab) = V_t(a)V_t(b)$ if either a or b belongs to $V_{t^{-1}}(A)$.

If the group G is understood we will put just (A, V) (or even V if A is understood as well) instead of (A, G, V). A morphism $(A, G, V) \overset{\psi}{\to} (A', G, V')$ is a unital homomorphism of C^*-algebras $\psi : A \to A'$ such that $\psi V_t = V'_t \psi$, $\forall t \in G$.

It will be useful for our purposes to consider the following couple of categories, $\mathcal{T}_G$ and $\mathcal{D}_G$ associated to a group G. The objects of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}}$ are triples $T = (B, \beta, F)$, where β is an action of the group G on the unital C^*-algebra B, and $F : B \to B$ is a conditional expectation, that is, a norm one idempotent whose range is a C^*-subalgebra of B. Recall that a conditional expectation F is a positive $F(B)$-bimodule map. If $T = (B, \beta, F)$, $T' = (B', \beta', F') \in \mathcal{T}_G$, by a morphism $\phi : T \to T'$ we mean a unital homomorphism of C^*-algebras $\phi : B \to B'$ such that $\phi F = F'\phi$ and $\phi\beta_t = \beta'_t\phi$, $\forall t \in G$. The category $\mathcal{D}_G$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}_G$ whose objects (B, β, F) satisfy the following two conditions: a) $F\beta_t F(1) = F(1)$, $\forall t \in G$, and b) $F_r F_s = F_s F_r$, $\forall r, s \in G$, where $F_r = \beta_r F \beta_{r^{-1}}$, $\forall r \in G$. Note that $F(1)$ is the unit of $F(B)$, and that F_r is a conditional expectation with range $\beta_r(F(B))$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $T = (B, \beta, F) \in \mathcal{T}_G$, and $A := F(B)$. If $FF_t = F_t F$, $\forall t \in G$, then:*

(1) $F_r F_s = F_s F_r$, $\forall r, s \in G$, and $F_r F_s$ is a conditional expectation with range $\beta_r(A) \cap \beta_s(A)$.
(2) If $V_t := F\beta_t|_A$, $\forall t \in G$, then the map $V : G \to B(A)$ given by $t \mapsto V_t$ is a partial representation and satisfies condition 3. of 1.1. Moreover the range of V_t is $A \cap \beta_t(A)$, $\forall t \in G$.
(3) If V is the map defined in 2, then V is an interaction group if and only if $F(\beta_t(1_A)) = 1_A$ for every $t \in G$. That is: V is an interaction group if and only if $T \in \mathcal{D}_G$.

Proof. We have

$$\beta_r F \beta_{r^{-1}} \beta_s F \beta_{s^{-1}} = \beta_s F_{s^{-1}r} F \beta_{s^{-1}} = \beta_s F F_{s^{-1}r} \beta_{s^{-1}} = \beta_s F \beta_{s^{-1}} \beta_r F \beta_{r^{-1}} \beta_s \beta_{s^{-1}}.$$

That is, $F_r F_s = F_s F_r$, and therefore $F_r F_s$ is a conditional expectation with range $F_r(B) \cap F_s(B)$. On the other hand $F_r(B) = \beta_r F \beta_{r^{-1}}(B) = \beta_r F(B) = \beta_r(A)$. Hence $F_r F_s(B) = \beta_r(A) \cap \beta_s(A)$.

As for *2.*, V is a partial representation:

$$V_{s^{-1}} V_s V_t = F F_{s^{-1}} F \beta_t = F F_{s^{-1}} \beta_t = V_{s^{-1}} V_{st},$$
$$V_s V_t V_{t^{-1}} = F \beta_{st} F_{t^{-1}} F \beta_{t^{-1}}|_A = V_{st} F \beta_{t^{-1}} Id_A = V_{st} V_{t^{-1}}.$$

If $x \in A$ and $a = V_{t^{-1}}(x)$, $b \in B$, then:

$$V_t(ab) = V_t(V_{t^{-1}}(x)b) = F(F_t(x)\beta_t(b)) = F(F_tF(x)\beta_t(b))$$
$$= F(FF_t(x)\beta_t(b)) = FF_t(x)F(\beta_t(b)) = V_tV_{t^{-1}}(x)V_t(b) = V_t(a)V_t(b).$$

Since $V_t(ba) = V_t(a^*b^*)^*$, we have shown that V satisfies condition *3.* of 1.1. On the other hand, $V_t(A) = F\beta_t(A) = FF_t(\beta_t(A)) = A \cap \beta_t(A)$, because FF_t is a conditional expectation with range $A \cap \beta_t(A) \subseteq \beta_t(A)$.

Now, if V is an interaction group, then $F\beta_t(1_A) = V_t(1_A) = 1_A$, $\forall t \in G$. Conversely, if $F\beta_t(1_A) = 1_A$ $\forall t \in G$, then V_t is a positive unital map. In addition $V_e = F\beta_e|_A = FId_A = Id_A$; hence V is an interaction group. □

1.2. **The partial action of an interaction group.** We will see now that every interaction group has naturally associated a partial action of the group on the same algebra. Recall that a partial action of a discrete group G on a set X is a pair $(\{X_t\}_{t\in G}, \{\gamma_t\}_{t\in G})$ where, for every $t \in G$, X_t is a subset of X, $\gamma_t : X_{t^{-1}} \to X_t$ is a bijection, and γ_{st} extends $\gamma_s\gamma_t$, $\forall s, t \in G$. It is also assumed that $\gamma_e = id_X$. When X is a C^*-algebra, it is usually supposed that X_t is an ideal and that γ_t is an isomorphism of C^*-algebras. So we warn the reader that for the partial actions we consider in this paper the sets X_t will be unital C^*-subalgebras.

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose that $V : G \to B(A)$ is an interaction group. For $t \in G$ let $A_t := V_t(A)$, and $\gamma_t : A_{t^{-1}} \to A_t$ be such that $\gamma_t(a) = V_t(a)$. Then:*

1. *Every A_t is a unital C^*-subalgebra of A (with the same unit), and γ_t is an isomorphism between $A_{t^{-1}}$ and A_t, $\forall t \in G$.*
2. *The map $E_t : A \to A$ given by $E_t := V_tV_{t^{-1}}$ is a conditional expectation onto A_t, $\forall t \in G$, and $E_rE_s = E_sE_r$, $\forall r, s \in G$.*
3. *The pair $\gamma := (\{A_t\}_{t\in G}, \{\gamma_t\}_{t\in G})$ is a partial action of G on A.*

Proof. We already know by [9, 3.2] that A_t is a unital C^*-subalgebra of A with unit $V_t(1_A) = 1_A$, and that γ_t is an isomorphism, $\forall t \in G$. Since V is a partial representation we have that $\gamma_e = V_e = Id$. Suppose now that c belongs to the domain of $\gamma_s\gamma_t$, that is, $c \in A_{t^{-1}}$ is such that $\gamma_t(c) \in A_{s^{-1}}$. Then $\gamma_s\gamma_t(c) \in A_s$ and $\gamma_s\gamma_t(c) = V_sV_t(V_{t^{-1}}(\gamma_t(c))) = V_{st}(V_{t^{-1}}(\gamma_t(c))) = V_{st}(c) \in A_{st}$. Then $\gamma_s\gamma_t(c) \in A_s \cap A_{st}$, and we may apply $\gamma_{t^{-1}s^{-1}}$ to $\gamma_s\gamma_t(c)$. Since V is a partial action we obtain:

$$\gamma_{t^{-1}s^{-1}}\gamma_s\gamma_t(c) = V_{t^{-1}s^{-1}}V_s\gamma_t(c) = V_{t^{-1}}V_{s^{-1}}V_s\gamma_t(c) = \gamma_{t^{-1}}\gamma_{s^{-1}}\gamma_s\gamma_t(c) = c,$$

whence $\gamma_{st}(c) = \gamma_s\gamma_t(c)$. This shows that γ_{st} extends $\gamma_s\gamma_t$, $\forall s, t \in G$, and therefore γ is a partial action. □

Observe that if V is an interaction group of the type considered in 1.2, then $E_r = FF_r|_A$, and $E_rE_s = FF_rF_s|_A$ (with the notations of 1.2 and 1.3).

The usual notion of partial actions of groups on C^*-algebras requires that the domains of the partial automorphisms are ideals. In the commutative case, partial actions on a C^*-algebra correspond exactly with partial actions on the spectrum of the algebra, where the domains of the partial homeomorphisms are open subsets of the spectrum ([2, Proposition 1.5]). Instead, partial actions on unital commutative C^*-algebras as the ones considered in 1.3 lead to a different notion of partial action on a topological space. In fact, let $A = C(X)$ be a unital commutative C^*-algebra, and let $\gamma = (\{A_t\}, \{\gamma_t\})$ be a partial action of G on A, where each A_t is a unital subalgebra of A, with the same unit. Then the dual notion of the partial action γ should be expressed in terms of the spectra of the subalgebras A_t and the maps induced by γ between them. Although we will not give here the exact conditions that such a collection of spaces and maps must satisfy, it is clear that the result is not a partial action in the usual sense, as the spectrum of A_t is not a subspace but a quotient of X.

1.3. **Dilations of interaction groups.** We introduce next the notion of dilation of an interaction group V, and we study its relation with the partial action associated with V.

Definition 1.4. Let $V : G \to B(A)$ be an interaction group. A dilation of V is a pair (i, T), where $T = (B, \beta, F) \in \mathcal{T}_G$ and $i : A \to B$ is a homomorphism of C^*-algebras such that $iV_t = F\beta_t i$, $\forall t \in G$. If $B = \overline{\text{span}}\{\beta_t i(a) : a \in A, t \in G\}$, we say that the dilation is minimal. The dilation is called faithful if so is F, and it is called admissible if $T \in \mathcal{D}_G$ (recall that a positive map F is called faithful when $b \neq 0$ implies $F(b^*b) \neq 0$).

Proposition 1.5. *Let $V : G \to B(A)$ be an interaction group, and suppose that (i, T) is a minimal dilation of V, where $T = (B, \beta, F)$. Then we have $F((FF_t - F_tF)(b)^*(FF_t - F_tF)(b)) = 0$, $\forall b \in B$.*

Proof. We must show that $F\beta_tF\beta_{t^{-1}}(b) - \beta_tF\beta_{t^{-1}}F(b)$ belongs to the left ideal $L_F := \{b \in B : F(b^*b) = 0\}$ of B, $\forall b \in B$. Since B is the closed linear span of the set $\cup_{s\in G}\beta_s i(A)$, it is enough to prove that $F\beta_tF\beta_{t^{-1}}(\beta_s i(a)) - \beta_tF\beta_{t^{-1}}F(\beta_s i(a)) \in L_F$, that is, $V_tV_{t^{-1}s}(a) - \beta_tV_{t^{-1}}V_s(a) \in L_F$, $\forall s \in G$, $a \in A$. Since F is an A-bimodule map which is the identity operator on A, and since $F\beta_t|_A = V_t$, we have that the expression $F\big((V_tV_{t^{-1}s}(a) - \beta_tV_{t^{-1}}V_s(a))^*(V_tV_{t^{-1}s}(a) - \beta_tV_{t^{-1}}V_s(a))\big)$ is equal to $V_tV_{t^{-1}s}(a^*)\big(V_tV_{t^{-1}s}(a) - V_tV_{t^{-1}}V_s(a)\big) - V_tV_{t^{-1}}V_s(a^*)\big(V_tV_{t^{-1}s}(a) - V_tV_{t^{-1}}V_s(a)\big)$, which is zero because V is a partial representation. $\square$

Corollary 1.6. *Any minimal and faithful dilation of an interaction group is admissible.*

Suppose that β is an action of G on the C^*-algebra B, and that A is a C^*-subalgebra of A. The restriction of β to A is the partial action $\beta|_A := (\{A'_t\}_{t\in G}, \{\gamma'_t\}_{t\in G})$, where $A'_t := A \cap \beta_t(A)$ and $\gamma'_t(a) := \beta_t(a)$, $\forall a \in A'_{t^{-1}}$,

$t \in G$. In case that the C^*-algebra generated by $\{\beta_t(a) : a \in A, t \in G\}$ is all of B, we say that β is an **enveloping action** for γ'.

Proposition 1.7. *Suppose that* $V : G \to B(A)$ *is an interaction group with dilation* $(i, (B, \beta, F))$*, where* A *is a* C^**-subalgebra of* B *and* $i : A \to B$ *is the natural inclusion. Let* γ *be the partial action of* G *on* A *given by Proposition 1.3, and let* $\gamma' := \beta|_A$*. Then* $A_t \supseteq A'_t := A \cap \beta_t(A)$ *and* $\gamma_t(a) = \gamma'_t(a)$*,* $\forall t \in G$*,* $a \in A'_{t^{-1}}$*. If the dilation is admissible then* $\gamma = \beta|_A$*. In particular if the dilation is faithful then* γ *is the restriction of* β *to* A*.*

Proof. If $a \in A$, then $a \in A'_{t^{-1}} \iff \beta_t(a) \in A \iff \beta_t(a) = F\beta_t(a) \iff \beta_t(a) = V_t(a)$. Then if $a \in A'_{t^{-1}}$ we have $\gamma'_t(a) = \beta_t(a) = V_t(a) \in A_t$, which shows that $A'_t \subseteq A_t$ and $\gamma'_t = V_t|_{A'_{t^{-1}}} = \gamma_t|_{A'_{t^{-1}}}$. On the other hand, if $FF_t = F_tF$, then if $a \in A_t$ we have:

$$a = V_tV_{t^{-1}}(a) = FF_t(a) = F_tF(a) = \beta_t(F\beta_{t^{-1}}F(a)) \in A \cap \beta_t(A) = A'_t,$$

whence $A_t = A'_t$, and $\gamma_t = \gamma'_t$. The last two assertions follow from Proposition 1.5 and Corollary 1.6. □

Corollary 1.8. *Suppose that* $V : G \to B(A)$ *is an interaction group with admissible dilation* $(i, (B, \beta, F))$*, where* $i : A \to B$ *is an embedding (i.e.:* i *is injective). Then the restriction of* β *to* $C := \overline{span}\{\beta_t i(a) : t \in G, a \in A\}$ *is an enveloping action for the partial action* γ *of* G *on* A *given by Proposition 1.3. In particular, if the dilation is minimal then* β *is an enveloping action for* γ*.*

2. THE DILATION

A cancelative monoid P is called an Ore semigroup if $Pr \cap Ps \neq \emptyset$, $\forall r, s \in P$. It follows by induction that P is an Ore semigroup if and only if $P_{t_1} \cap \ldots \cap P_{t_n} \neq \emptyset$, $\forall t_1, \ldots, t_n \in P$. Then P is partially ordered by the relation $r \leq s \iff s \in Pr$ (equivalently: $r \leq s \iff Pr \supseteq Ps$), and it is even directed by that relation.

Any cancelative abelian monoid P is an Ore semigroup. In fact, such a monoid embeds in its Grothendieck group G, and every element $t \in G$ can be written as $t = v^{-1}u$, with $u, v \in P$. Therefore, if $r, s \in P$, writing $rs^{-1} = u^{-1}v$, with $u, v \in P$, gives $t := ur = vs \in Pr \cap Ps$, so P is an Ore semigroup (and $P \ni t \geq r, s$). More generally, we have the following theorem [12, Theorem 1.1.2], which shows that there is a functor from the category of Ore semigroups into the category of groups:

Theorem 2.1 (Ore, Dubreil)**.** *A semigroup* P *can be embedded in a group* G *with* $P^{-1}P = G$ *if and only if it is an Ore semigroup. In this case the group* G *is determined up to canonical isomorphism and every semigroup homomorphism* ϕ *from* P *into a group* H *extends uniquely to a group homomorphism* $\varphi : G \to H$*.*

In case P is an Ore semigroup we say that the group G in 2.1 is the enveloping group of P.

A key ingredient in our process of dilating the interaction groups under consideration is Laca's theorem [12, 2.1.1]. For the convenience of the reader we recall it below:

Theorem 2.2 (M. Laca, [12])**.** *Assume P is an Ore semigroup with enveloping group $G = P^{-1}P$ and let α be an action of P by unital injective endomorphisms of a unital C^*-algebra A. Then there exists a C^*-dynamical system (B, G, β), unique up to isomorphism, consisting of an action β of G by automorphisms of a C^*-algebra B and an embedding $i : A \to B$ such that:*

1. *β dilates α, that is, $\beta_t \circ i = i \circ \alpha_t$, for t in P, and*
2. *(B, G, β) is minimal, that is, $\bigcup_{t\in P} \beta_t^{-1}(i(A))$ is dense in B.*

Note that i is unital:

$$\beta_{t^{-1}} i(a)i(1_A) = \beta_{t^{-1}}(i(a)\beta_t(i(1_A))) = \beta_{t^{-1}}(i(a\alpha_t(1_A))) = i(a), \forall t \in P,$$

so taking adjoints and recalling that $\{\beta_{t^{-1}}(i(a)) : \ t \in P, a \in A\}$ is dense in B, we see that $i(1_A) = 1_B$.

From now on G will denote the enveloping group of the Ore semigroup P.

Lemma 2.3. *Let α be an action of the Ore semigroup P by unital injective endomorphisms of the unital C^*-algebra A, and suppose that $V : G \to B(A)$ is an interaction group such that $V|_P = \alpha$. If $(i, (B, \beta, F))$ is an admissible dilation of V, then $\beta_t i = iV_t = i\alpha_t$, $\forall t \in P$.*

Proof. Note first that for $t \in G$: $FF_t i = F\beta_t(F\beta_{t^{-1}} i) = F\beta_t iV_{t^{-1}} = iV_tV_{t^{-1}}$. If now $t \in P$ we have $V_{t^{-1}}\alpha_t = id_A$, and therefore

$$\beta_t i = \beta_t iV_{t^{-1}}\alpha_t = \beta_t F\beta_{t^{-1}} i\alpha_t = F_t Fi\alpha_t = FF_t i\alpha_t = iV_tV_{t^{-1}}V_t = iV_t.$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *Let α be an action of the Ore semigroup P by unital injective endomorphisms of the unital C^*-algebra A, and suppose that $V : G \to B(A)$ is an interaction group such that $V|_P = \alpha$. Then V has a minimal admissible dilation (i, T), where $T = (B, \beta, F)$ and $i : A \to B$ is an embedding, which has the following universal property. If $(i', (B', \beta', F'))$ is another admissible dilation of V, then there exists a unique morphism $\phi : (B, \beta, F) \to (B', \beta', F')$ such that $\phi i = i'$. Therefore the dilation (i, T) is unique up to isomorphism in the class of minimal and admissible dilations.*

Proof. Let $i : (A, \alpha) \to (B, \beta)$ be the minimal dilation of (A, α) provided by Laca's theorem. We suppose, as we can do, that i is the natural inclusion, so $A \subseteq B$. We proceed next to define a conditional expectation $F : B \to A$. To this end note first that if $r, s \in P$, with $r \le s$, and $a_r, a_s \in A$ are such that $\beta_{r^{-1}}(a_r) = \beta_{s^{-1}}(a_s)$, then $\beta_{sr^{-1}}(a_r) = a_s$, so $\alpha_{sr^{-1}}(a_r) = a_s$ by 2.3. Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_s(a_s) = \mathcal{L}_s\alpha_{sr^{-1}}(a_r) = V_{s^{-1}}V_{sr^{-1}}(a_r) = \mathcal{L}_s\alpha_s\mathcal{L}_r(a_r) = \mathcal{L}_r(a_r).$$

Thus we may define $F_0 : \bigcup_{t\in P}\beta_{t^{-1}}(A) \to B$ such that $F_0(b) = \mathcal{L}_t(\beta_t(b))$, $\forall b \in \beta_{t^{-1}}(A)$. Since $\|F_0(b)\| = \|\mathcal{L}_t(\beta_t(b))\| \leq \|b\|$, F_0 extends uniquely to a bounded operator $F : B \to A$, which is easily seen to be positive and to satisfy $F^2 = F$ and $F(B) = A$. Then F is a conditional expectation with range A. We claim that (B, β, F) is a minimal admissible dilation of V. In fact, if $t \in G$ and $r, s \in P$ are such that $t = r^{-1}s$, then

$$F\beta_t|_A = F\beta_{r^{-1}}\beta_{rt}|_A = F\beta_{r^{-1}}\alpha_s = \mathcal{L}_r\alpha_s = V_{r^{-1}}V_rV_{r^{-1}s} = V_{r^{-1}s} = V_t.$$

Since $\bigcup_{t\in P}\beta_{t^{-1}}(A)$ is dense in B we have that (B, β, F) is minimal, and to see that it is also admissible, it is enough to check that $FF_t\beta_{r^{-1}}|_A = F_tF\beta_{r^{-1}}|_A$, $\forall t \in G, r \in P$. On the one hand we have

$$FF_t\beta_{r^{-1}}|_A = F\beta_tF\beta_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}|_A = V_tFV_{t^{-1}r^{-1}} = E_tV_{r^{-1}} \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, let $t \in G$, $t = u^{-1}v$, $u, v \in P$. Using Lemma 2.3 and recalling that $E_uE_v = E_vE_u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_tF\beta_{r^{-1}}|_A &= \beta_{u^{-1}}\beta_vF\beta_{v^{-1}u}V_{r^{-1}} = \beta_{u^{-1}}V_vV_{v^{-1}u}V_{r^{-1}} \\ &= \beta_{u^{-1}}E_vE_uV_uV_{r^{-1}} = \beta_{u^{-1}}E_uE_vV_uV_{r^{-1}} \\ &= \beta_{u^{-1}}V_uV_{u^{-1}}V_vV_{v^{-1}}V_uV_{r^{-1}} \\ &= \beta_{u^{-1}}\beta_uV_tV_{t^{-1}}V_{r^{-1}} = E_tV_{r^{-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we conclude that (B, β, F) is admissible. We see next that (B, β, F) has the claimed universal property. Then suppose that $(i', (B', \beta', F'))$ is another admissible dilation of V. By Lemma 2.3 we have that $\beta'|_P = i'\alpha$, and then by the universal property of the pair (B, β) there exists a unique homomorphism $\phi : B \to B'$ such that $\phi i = i'$ and $\beta'_t\phi = \phi\beta_t$ $\forall t \in G$. In particular $\phi\beta_{r^{-1}}i = \beta'_{r^{-1}}\phi i = \beta'_{r^{-1}}i'$, $\forall r \in P$. Thus

$$F'\phi\beta_{r^{-1}}i = F'\beta'_{r^{-1}}i' = i'V_{r^{-1}} = \phi iV_{r^{-1}} = \phi F\beta_{r^{-1}}i, \quad \forall r \in P.$$

The equality $\phi F = F'\phi$ follows now from the density of $\bigcup_{r\in P}\beta_{r^{-1}}i(A)$ in B and the continuity of the involved maps. ☐

Remark 2.5. Suppose V and V' are interaction groups that extend actions by injective unital endomorphisms of the Ore semigroup P. Suppose as well that $\psi : (A, V) \to (A', V')$ is a morphism of interaction groups, and let (i, T) and (i', T') be the corresponding minimal admissible dilations of V and V'. Then $(i'\psi, T')$ is an admissible dilation of V, so there exists a unique morphism $\phi : T \to T'$ such that $\phi i = i'\psi$. In this way we obtain a functor from the category of interaction groups that extend actions by injective unital endomorphisms of the Ore semigroup P into the category $\mathcal{D}_G$, where G is the enveloping group of P.

We end the section with a result concerning enveloping actions.

Proposition 2.6. *Let V be an interaction group like in 2.4, and let γ be the partial action associated to V via 1.3. Then γ has an enveloping action, which is unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. It follows from 2.4 and 1.8 that the action β provided by Theorem 2.4 is an enveloping action for γ. Suppose now that $\beta' : G \times B' \to B'$ is another enveloping action for γ, where B' is a C^*-algebra which contains A. To show that β and β' are isomorphic, it is enough to show that β' satisfies properties 1. and 2. of Theorem 2.2. It is clear that β' satisfies the first property, so let us see that it also verifies the second one. Note that if $t = r^{-1}s \in G$, with $r, s \in P$, then $\beta'_t(A) = \beta'_{r^{-1}}\alpha_s(A) \subseteq \beta'_{r^{-1}}(A)$. On the other hand, suppose $r, s \in P$, with $r \leq s$. Then, since $sr^{-1} \in P$, we have $A \supseteq \alpha_{sr^{-1}}(A) = \beta'_s\beta'_{r^{-1}}(A)$, so $\beta'_{s^{-1}}(A) \supseteq \beta'_{r^{-1}}(A)$. Thus $\beta'_t(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{r\in P} \beta'_{r^{-1}}(A)$, $\forall t \in G$, because P is directed by its partial order. This implies that B' is the closure of $\bigcup_{r\in P} \beta'_{r^{-1}}(A)$, as we wanted to prove. $\square$

3. Dilations of Exel-Renault interaction groups

In this section we specialize to certain interaction groups occuring on commutative C^*-algebras. More precisely, we are interested in the interaction groups studied in [10]. In that work, the authors considered right actions $\theta : P \times X \to X$, where P is an Ore semigroup with enveloping group G, and θ_t is an onto local homeomorphism of the compact Hausdorff space X, that is, $\theta_t : X \to X$ is a covering map. Dualizing, θ induces a left action α of P by injective unital endomorphisms of $A = C(X)$. It is shown in [10] that for α to be extended to an interaction group $V : G \to B(A)$ it is enough that there exists a certain map $\omega : P \times X \to [0, 1]$, associated to θ. This map is called a cocycle and is determined by the fact that $E_t(a)(x) = \sum_{\theta_t(y)=\theta_t(x)} \omega(t, y)a(y)$, $\forall t \in P$, $a \in A$ and $x \in X$, where $E_t = V_tV_{t^{-1}}$. In this case Theorem 2.4 can be applied, so one concludes that the interaction groups considered by Exel and Renault in [10] have minimal admissible dilations. We mention in passing that for these interaction groups Theorem 2.4 could be proved by using exclusively measure-theoretic arguments, but we will not do it here. The aim of this section is to show that the minimal admissible dilations of the Exel-Renault interaction groups are also faithful.

3.1. Conditional expectations on commutative C^*-algebras.

We begin by giving a characterization of conditional expectations from a commutative unital C^*-algebra onto a unital C^*-subalgebra, suitable for our purposes. We also describe the transfer operators for an endomorphism induced by a covering map. For more information about conditional expectations we refer the reader to [15] and [4].

We fix a notation we will use until the end of the present paragraph. Let $B = C(Z)$ be a unital C^*-algebra and $A = C(X)$ a unital C^*-subalgebra of B. Note that X is homeomorphic to the quotient space of Z with respect to the relation $z \sim z' \iff a(z) = a(z')$, $\forall a \in A$. Let $\pi : Z \to X$ be the corresponding quotient map. Observe that an element $a \in C(X)$, when seen as an element of B, sends $z \in Z$ into $a(\pi(z))$. Denote by $P(Z)$ the set of regular Borel probability measures on Z.

Proposition 3.1. *With the above notation, let $F : B \to A$ be a unital linear map. Then F is positive if and only if there exists a map $\mu : X \to P(Z)$ that is w^*-continuous and such that*

$$F(b)(x) = \int_Z b(z)d\mu_x(z), \ \forall b \in B, x \in X. \tag{3.1}$$

Equation (3.1) *establishes a bijective correspondence between unital positive linear maps $F : B \to A$ and w^*-continuous maps $\mu : X \to P(Z)$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon_x : A \to \mathbb{C}$ be evaluation in $x \in X$. Then if F is positive $\epsilon_x \circ F$ is a state of B. Let μ_x be the probability measure provided by the Riesz-Markov representation theorem, such that $\epsilon_x \circ F(b) = \int_Z b(z)d\mu_x(z)$, $\forall b \in B$. Since $F(b)$ is a continuous function defined on X, it follows that $x \mapsto \mu_x$ is w^*-continuous. Conversely, it is clear that if a w^*-continuous map $\mu : X \to P(Z)$ is such that $F(b)(x) = \int_Z b(z)d\mu_x(z)$, $\forall b \in B, x \in X$, then $F(b)$ is positive whenever b is positive. Finally, it is obvious that the correspondence $F \mapsto \mu$ is one to one and onto. □

It is clear that in Proposition 3.1 above A does not need to be a subalgebra of B.

Example 3.2. Suppose $\alpha : B \to B$ is an injective unital endomorphism and let $A := \alpha(B)$. Then there exists a homeomorphism $\bar{\xi} : X \to Z$ such that $\alpha(b) = b \circ \bar{\xi} \in A$. Therefore $\alpha(b)(x) = b(\bar{\xi}(x)) = \int_Z b(z)d\delta_{\bar{\xi}(x)}$, where δ_z denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at z. Thus the map μ provided by 3.1 for α is given by: $\mu_x = \delta_{\bar{\xi}(x)}$.

Proposition 3.3. *A linear map $F : B \to A$ is an onto conditional expectation if and only if there exists a map $\mu : X \to P(Z)$ such that μ is w^*-continuous, supp$(\mu_x) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(x)$, $\forall x \in X$, and*

$$F(b)(x) = \int b(z)d\mu_x(z), \forall b \in B, x \in X.$$

If there exists such a map μ, then it is unique, and F is faithful if and only if the interior of the set $Z_\mu := \{z \in Z : z \notin \text{supp}(\mu_{\pi(z)})\}$ is empty. Consequently, if supp$(\mu_x) = \pi^{-1}(x)$, $\forall x \in X$, then F is faithful.

Proof. Suppose first that there exists such a map μ. If $a \in A, b \in B$ and $x \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(ab)(x) &= \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} a(\pi(z))b(z)d\mu_x(z) = a(x)\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} b(z)d\mu_x(z) \\ &= (aF(b))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then $F(ab) = aF(b)$. A similar computation shows that $F(a) = a$, $\forall a \in A$, whence F is a conditional expectation. Conversely, suppose that $F : B \to A$ is a conditional expectation, and let $\mu : X \to P(Z)$ be the map provided by Proposition 3.1 for the unital positive map F. Let us see that $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_x) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(x)$. Suppose $z \notin \pi^{-1}(x)$. Then $\pi(z) \neq x$, so there exist open disjoint sets V_z and V_x in X such that $\pi(z) \in V_z$ and $x \in V_x$. Let $a \in A$ be such that $a(X) = [0, 1]$, with $\operatorname{supp}(a) \subseteq V_x$ and $a(x) = 1$. Then, since $a = F(a)$, $a(x) = 1$, and $\operatorname{supp}(a \circ \pi) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(V_x)$:

$$1 = F(a)(x) = \int_Z a \circ \pi \, d\mu_x = \int_{\pi^{-1}(V_x)} a \circ \pi \, d\mu_x \leq \mu_x(\pi^{-1}(V_x)) \leq 1.$$

It follows that $\mu_x(Z \setminus \pi^{-1}(V_x)) = 0$. Then $\pi^{-1}(V_z) \cap \pi^{-1}(V_x) = \emptyset$, hence $\mu_x(\pi^{-1}(V_z)) = 0$. Since $\pi^{-1}(V_z)$ is open we have that $\pi^{-1}(V_z) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mu_x) = \emptyset$. This shows that $z \notin \operatorname{supp}(\mu_x)$ and therefore $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_x) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(x)$.

Suppose now that there exists a non–empty open subset V of Z such that $z \notin \operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\pi(z)})$, $\forall z \in V$. Let $b \in B^+$ be a non–zero element such that $\operatorname{supp}(b) \subseteq V$. Then for all $x \in X$ we have $F(b)(x) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(x) \cap V} b(z) d\mu_x(z) = 0$ since $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap V \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mu_x) = \emptyset$. Thus F is not faithful. Conversely, if F is not faithful, let $0 \neq b \in B^+ \cap \ker F$, and $V \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(b)$ such that $b(z) \geq \delta$, for some positive δ and for all $z \in V$. Then, if $z_0 \in V$ and $x = \pi(z_0)$ we have

$$0 = F(b)(x) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} b \, d\mu_x \geq \delta \mu_x(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \operatorname{supp}(b)) \geq \delta \mu_x(V \cap \pi^{-1}(x)).$$

This shows that $z_0 \notin \operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\pi(z)})$, $\forall z_0 \in V$. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\xi : Z \to Z$ be an onto continuous map and $\alpha : B \to B$ its dual map. Let $A = C(X)$ be the range of α and $\pi : Z \to X$ the canonical projection. Then a map $\mathcal{L} : B \to B$ is a transfer operator for α if and only if there exists a w^*-continuous map $\nu : Z \to P(Z)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}(b)(z) = \int_{\xi^{-1}(z)} b(u) d\nu_z(u) \tag{3.2}$$

with $\operatorname{supp}(\nu_z) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(z)$, $\forall z \in Z$. In this case the map ν is unique. More precisely, if $\mathcal{L}$ is a transfer operator, then $\nu_z = \mu_{\pi(z')}$, where μ is the map associated by 3.3 to the conditional expectation $\alpha\mathcal{L}$, and z' is any element of $\xi^{-1}(z)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{L} : B \to B$ is a transfer operator for α. Then $F := \alpha\mathcal{L}$ is a conditional expectation onto A. By Proposition 3.3 we have $F(b)(x) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} b(u) d\mu_x(u)$, for a unique w^*-continuous map $\mu : X \to P(Z)$. Consequently we have $F(b)(z) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(\pi(z))} b(u) d\mu_{\pi(z)}(u)$. Since ξ is onto, for $z \in Z$ there exists z' such that $z = \xi(z')$. Then, as $F = \alpha\mathcal{L}$, we get: $\mathcal{L}(b)(z) = \mathcal{L}(b)(\xi(z')) = F(b)(z') = \int_{\pi^{-1}(\pi(z'))} b(u) d\mu_{\pi(z')}(u) = \int_{\xi^{-1}(z)} b(u) d\mu_{\pi(z')}(u)$, $\forall b \in B$, $z' \in \xi^{-1}(z)$. So if $\nu : Z \to P(Z)$ is the map associated to the unital positive map $\mathcal{L}$ on B by 3.1, we have, for $z, z' \in Z$, with $\xi(z') = z$:

$\mathcal{L}(b)(z)=\int_{\xi^{-1}(z)}b(u)d\mu_{\pi(z')}(u)=\int_Z b(u)d\nu_z(u)$, and therefore $\nu_z=\mu_{\pi(z')}$, $\forall z'\in\xi^{-1}(z)$. In particular, if $\xi(z')=z$, then: $\operatorname{supp}(\nu_z)=\operatorname{supp}\mu_{\pi(z')}\subseteq\pi^{-1}(\pi(z'))=\xi^{-1}(\xi(z'))=\xi^{-1}(z)$. Conversely, it is readily checked that a map $\mathcal{L}$ given by (3.2) for such a map ν is a transfer operator for α. ☐

As an immediate consequence of the above result we have

Corollary 3.5. *Let α be as in Corollary 3.4. Then the map $\mathcal{L}\mapsto\alpha\mathcal{L}$ is a bijective correspondence between the sets of transfer operators for α and conditional expectations onto $\alpha(B)$. Moreover $\alpha\mathcal{L}$ is faithful if and only if so is $\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. The last assertion, which is not implied by 3.4, follows from the injectivity of α. ☐

When the quotient map $\pi:Z\to X$ is a covering map we can be more precise:

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that the quotient map $\pi:Z\to X$ is a covering map. Then a linear map $F:B\to A$ is an onto conditional expectation if and only if there exists a continuous map $\omega:Z\to[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{z\in\pi^{-1}(x)}\omega(z)=1$, $\forall x\in X$, and $F(b)(x)=\sum_{z\in\pi^{-1}(x)}\omega(z)b(z)$, $\forall b\in B$, $x\in X$. In this case the map ω is unique, and F is faithful if and only if the set $\omega^{-1}(0)$ is nowhere dense.*

Proof. If $x\in X$, then $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is finite, because π is a local homeomorphism and Z is compact. Thus a map $\mu:X\to P(Z)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_x)\subseteq\pi^{-1}(x)$ is nothing but a map $\omega:Z\to[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{z\in\pi^{-1}(x)}\omega(z)=1$ and $\mu_x=\sum_{z\in\pi^{-1}(x)}\omega(z)\delta_z$, $\forall x\in X$. Then, if ω is continuous, μ is w^*-continuous. Suppose conversely that μ is w^*-continuous. Fix $z_0\in Z$, and let V be an open neighborhood of z_0 on which the restriction of π is a homeomorphism onto its image. Let $b\in C(Z)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(b)\subseteq V$, and $b=1$ on a neighborhood U of z_0. If $z\in U$:

$$\omega(z)=\omega(z)b(z)=\sum_{z'\in\pi^{-1}(\pi(z))}\omega(z')\int_Z b\,d\delta_{z'}=\int_Z b\,d\mu_{\pi(z)}$$

Since μ is w^*-continuous and π is continuous, it follows that ω also is continuous because:

$$\lim_{z\to z_0}\omega(z)=\lim_{z\to z_0}\int_Z b\,d\mu_{\pi(z)}=\int_Z b\,d\mu_{\pi(z_0)}=\omega(z_0)$$

Note finally that if $z\in\pi^{-1}(x)$, then $z\in\operatorname{supp}(\mu_x)$ if and only if $\omega(z)\neq 0$. The proof now follows by combining the considerations above with Proposition 3.3. ☐

If, in the situation of Corollary 3.6, the map ω exists and is positive, it follows from [15, Proposition 2.8.9] that the associated conditional expectation F is of index-finite type (in the sense of Watatani, [15]), and moreover

$\operatorname{Index} F(z) = 1/\omega(z)$, $\forall z \in Z$. In particular F is faithful, a fact that also follows from 3.3 and 3.5. Conversely, if F is of index-finite type, then $\operatorname{Index} F$ is positive ([15, Lemma 2.3.1]) and $\omega(z) = 1/\operatorname{Index} F(z)$.

Example 3.7. Consider the covering map $\theta : S^1 \to S^1$ given by $\theta(z) = z^2$, and let $\alpha : B \to B$ be its dual map, where $B = C(S^1)$. Then $A := \alpha(B) = \{b \in B : b(z) = b(-z),\ \forall z \in S^1\}$. Consider any continuous function $\omega' : [0, \pi] \to [0, 1]$ such that $\omega'(\pi) = 1 - \omega'(0)$, and let $\omega : [0, 2\pi] \to [0, 1]$ be the extension of ω' such that $\omega(t) = 1 - \omega'(t - \pi)$, $\forall t \in (\pi, 2\pi]$. Since ω is continuous and $\omega(0) = \omega(2\pi)$, we can look at ω as a continuous map from S^1 into $[0, 1]$. It is clear that $\sum_{z^2=z_0} \omega(z) = 1$, $\forall z_0 \in S^1$. Therefore by 3.6 ω defines a conditional expectation F_ω. Consider the construction above for the following three cases: $\omega'_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\omega'_2(t) = \frac{t}{\pi}$ and $\omega'_3(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \\ \frac{2t}{\pi} - 1 & \text{if } t \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi] \end{cases}$. Then F_{ω_1} is of index-finite type, F_{ω_2} is faithful but not of index-finite type, and F_{ω_3} is not faithful.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\xi : Z \to Z$ be a covering map, and let $\alpha : B \to B$ be its dual map, with range $A = C(X)$. Then a linear map $\mathcal{L} : B \to B$ is a transfer operator for α if and only if there exists a continuous map $\omega : Z \to [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \omega(z) = 1$, $\forall x \in X$, and*

$$\mathcal{L}(b)(z) = \sum_{z' \in \xi^{-1}(z)} \omega(z')b(z'),\ \forall z \in Z. \tag{3.3}$$

In this case the map ω is unique.

Proof. Since ξ is a covering map if and only if so is π, and $\xi^{-1}(z) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(z))$, $\forall z \in Z$, our claims follow from Corollary 3.6, Corollary 3.4, and their proofs. □

3.2. **Exel–Renault interaction groups.** Suppose again that P is an Ore semigroup with enveloping group G, so $G = P^{-1}P$, and that $\theta : P \times X \to X$ is a right action, where X is a compact Hausdorff space, and each θ_t is a covering map. Then θ induces a left action $\alpha : P \times A \to A$, where $A = C(X)$ and $\alpha_t(a) = a \circ \theta_t$, $\forall a \in A$. Suppose in addition that $V : G \to B(A)$ is an interaction group that extends α, that is, $V_t = \alpha_t$, $\forall t \in P$. For each $t \in P$ let X_t be the spectrum of the C^*-subalgebra $A_t := V_t(A)$, so $A_t = C(X_t)$, and let $\pi_t : X \to X_t$ be the corresponding canonical projection. Note that $\pi_t(x) = \pi_t(x') \iff \theta_t(x) = \theta_t(x')$ and π_t is a local homeomorphism because θ_t is. Since $E_t := V_tV_{t^{-1}} : A \to A_t$ is a conditional expectation, by Corollary 3.6 there exists a unique map $\omega_t : X \to [0, 1]$ such that $E_t(a)(x) = \sum\limits_{\theta_t(y)=\theta_t(x)} \omega_t(y)a(y)$, $\forall a \in A$, $x \in X$. Thus associated with the family of conditional expectations $\{E_t\}_{t \in P}$ there is a unique map $\omega : P \times X \to [0, 1]$ such that

$$E_t(a)(x) = \sum_{\theta_t(y)=\theta_t(x)} \omega(t, y)a(y)$$

$\forall t \in P, a \in A$, $x \in X$. This map ω is continuous and satisfies

$$\sum_{y\in\theta_t^{-1}(x)} \omega(t,y) = 1, \tag{3.4}$$

$\forall t \in P$, $x \in X$. The map ω also satisfies *the cocycle property:*

$$\omega(rs,x) = \omega(r,x)\omega(s,\theta_s(x)), \tag{3.5}$$

$\forall r, s \in P$, $x \in X$, which reflects the fact that $V_{s^{-1}r^{-1}} = V_{s^{-1}}V_{r^{-1}}$, $\forall r, s \in P$. Moreover, due to the commutativity of the conditional expectations E_s and E_r, ω also satifies *the coherence property:*

$$\omega(s,x)W_r(C^{s,r}_{x,y}) = \omega(r,x)W_s(C^{r,s}_{x,y}), \tag{3.6}$$

$\forall r, s \in P$, $x, y \in X$, where, for $S \subseteq X$, we put $W_r(S) := \sum_{x\in S}\omega(r,x)$, and $C^{s,r}_{x,y} = C^s_x \cap C^r_y$, with $C^s_x = \theta_s^{-1}(\theta_s(x))$.

Since $V_{r^{-1}}$ is a transfer operator for α_r, $r \in P$, it follows by Corollary 3.8 that $V_{r^{-1}}(a)(x) = \sum_{y\in\theta_r^{-1}(x)}\omega(r,y)a(y)$, $\forall a \in A$, $x \in X$. Then, as $V_{s^{-1}}V_s = Id_A$, $\forall s \in P$, if $t = r^{-1}s \in G$, $r, s \in P$, we have: $V_t = V_{r^{-1}s} = V_{r^{-1}s}V_{s^{-1}}V_s = V_{r^{-1}}V_sV_{s^{-1}}V_s = V_{r^{-1}}V_s = V_{r^{-1}}\alpha_s$. Therefore:

$$V_t(a)(x) = V_{r^{-1}}\alpha_s(a)(x) = \sum_{y\in\theta_r^{-1}(x)} \omega(r,y)a(\theta_s(y)), \tag{3.7}$$

$\forall a \in A$, $x \in X$.

We recall from [10] the following definition:

Definition 3.9. A continuous map $\omega : P\times X \to [0,1]$, such that $w(t,y) > 0$ $\forall (t,y) \in P \times X$ will be called a normalized coherent cocycle or just cocycle for the action θ if it satisfies (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6).

It is proved in [10, Theorem 2.8] that every normalized coherent cocycle associated with θ defines, by means of formula (3.7), an interaction group V^ω that extends α. Such a V^ω will be called an *Exel–Renault interaction group.* Since each E_t is an index-finite type conditional expectation, as observed after Corollary 3.6, we must have $\omega(t,x) = 1/\operatorname{Index}E_t(x)$, $\forall t \in P, x \in X$. Therefore, if $r, s \in P$ and $t = r^{-1}s$, from (3.7) we have:

$$V_t(a)(x) = V_{r^{-1}}\alpha_s(a)(x) = \sum_{y\in\theta_r^{-1}(x)} \frac{a(\theta_s(y))}{\operatorname{Index}E_r(y)} \tag{3.8}$$

If V and V' are Exel-Renault interaction groups which extend α, formula (3.8) gives us a simple relation between them: in fact, if $t = r^{-1}s \in G$, with $r, s \in P$, then with obvious notation we have

$$V_t(a) = V_{r^{-1}}\alpha_s(a) = V'_{r^{-1}}\Big(\frac{\operatorname{Index}E'_r}{\operatorname{Index}E_r}\alpha_s(a)\Big),\ \forall a \in A. \tag{3.9}$$

3.3. **Dilations of Exel–Renault interaction groups.** In this final paragraph we will show that every Exel-Renault interaction group has a minimal faithful dilation.

Let $V = V^\omega : G \to B(A)$ be an Exel-Renault interaction group extending $\alpha : P \to B(A)$ as in the previous paragraph. Let (B, β, F) be the minimal admissible dilation of V. From properties 1. and 2. of Theorem 2.2 we have that B is the direct limit of copies of A with connecting maps α_r (alternatively see the proof of this result in [12]). Then $B = C(Z)$, where $(Z, \{q_r\}_{r\in P})$ is the inverse limit of the system $(\{X_r = X\}_{r\in P}, \{\theta_{sr^{-1}}\}_{e\leq r\leq s}\}$. Concretely, we have $Z = \{z : P \to X/\, z(r) = \theta_{sr^{-1}}(z(s)), \forall r, s \in P, r \leq s\}$, and $q_r : Z \to X$ given by $q_r(z) = z(r)$. The dual (right) action $\hat{\beta}$ of β is described by the following formulae. For $t \in P$ and $z \in Z$, to compute $\hat{\beta}_t z(r)$ we choose $s \in P$ such that $s \geq r, t$. Then we have $\hat{\beta}_t z(r) = \theta_{sr^{-1}}(z(st^{-1}))$ and $\hat{\beta}_t^{-1} z(r) = z(rt)$. In other words, if $t \in P$, then $q_r \hat{\beta}_t = \theta_{sr^{-1}} q_{st^{-1}}$, $\forall s \geq r, t$, and $q_r \hat{\beta}_t^{-1} = q_{rt}$. In particular $q_e \hat{\beta}_t = \theta_t q_e$. The inclusion of A into B is given by $a \mapsto aq_e$, $\forall a \in A$. Note that $Z_x := q_e^{-1}(x) = \{z \in Z : z(e) = x\}$ is the inverse limit of the system $(\{Z_x(r)\}_{r\in P}, \{\theta_{sr^{-1}}\}_{e\leq r\leq s}\}$, where $Z_x(r) = \theta_r^{-1}(x)$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(\{Y_r\}_{r\in P}, \{\sigma_r^s\}_{r\leq s})$ be an inverse limit of topological spaces with inverse limit $(Y, \{p_r\})$. Then the family $\mathcal{V} := \{p_r^{-1}(V) : r \in P,\, V \subseteq Y_r$ open subset$\}$ is a basis for the topology of Y.*

Proof. Let $V_1, \dots, V_n$ be open subsets of Y_r and $r_1, \dots, r_n \in P$. Suppose $y \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n p_{r_j}^{-1}(V_j)$. Pick any element $s \in P$ such that $s \geq r_j$, $\forall j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\sigma_{r_j}^s p_s(y) = p_{r_j}(y)$, $\forall j = 1, \dots, n$. Since every $\sigma_{r_j}^s$ is continuous, there exists an open neighborhood V of $p_s(y)$ such that $\sigma_{r_j}^s(V) \subseteq V_j$, $\forall j = 1, \dots, n$. Thus $y \in p_s^{-1}(V) \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n p_{r_j}^{-1}(V_j)$, which shows that $\mathcal{V}$ is a basis for the topology of Y, since it is already a sub–basis for it. □

We have next the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.11. *Every Exel-Renault interaction group has a minimal faithful dilation, unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. We will use the above just introduced notation. Let $(i, (B, \beta, F))$ be the minimal admissible dilation of the Exel-Renault interaction group $V : G \to B(A)$, with $i : A \to B$ the natural inclusion. By 3.3 there exists a unique map $\mu : X \to P(Z)$ such that $F(b)(x) = \int_{Z_x} b(z)d\mu_x(z)$, $\forall b \in B$ and $x \in X$, and to see that F is faithful is enough to show that the support of μ_x is exactly Z_x. Observe that, since $q_e\hat{\beta}_r = \theta_r q_e$, $\forall r \in P$, we have $Z_x = q_e^{-1}(x) = \hat{\beta}_r q_e^{-1}(Z_x(r)) = \biguplus_{y\in Z_x(r)} \hat{\beta}_r(Z_y)$. Then if $a \in A$, $x \in X$, it follows that $V_{r^{-1}}(a)(x) = F\beta_{r^{-1}}(a)(x) = \int_{Z_x} aq_e(\hat{\beta}_r^{-1}(z))d\mu_x(z)$. Therefore (3.10)

$$V_{r^{-1}}(a)(x) = \sum_{y\in Z_x(r)} \int_{\hat{\beta}_r(Z_y)} aq_e(\hat{\beta}_r^{-1}(z))d\mu_x(z) = \sum_{y\in Z_x(r)} \mu_x(\hat{\beta}_r(Z_y))a(y)$$

On the other hand, if $y_0 \in Z_x(r)$, then $V_r^{-1}(a)(x) = V_r^{-1}(a)(\theta_r(y_0)) = E_r(a)(y_0)$. Thus

$$V_r^{-1}(a)(x) = E_r(a)(y_0) = \sum_{y\in\theta_r^{-1}(\theta_r(y_0))} \omega(r,y)a(y) = \sum_{y\in Z_x(r)} \omega(r,y)a(y) \tag{3.11}$$

Comparing (3.10) and (3.11) we see that, by the uniqueness of $\omega(r,y)$, we must have $\mu_x(\hat{\beta}_r(Z_y)) = \omega(r,y) > 0$. Since by Lemma 3.10 the family $\{q_r^{-1}(y) = \hat{\beta}_r(Z_y) : r \in P, y \in Z_x(r)\}$ is a basis for the topology of Z_x, we conclude that the support of μ_x is Z_x, and hence F is faithful. $\square$

Example 3.12. Consider the local homeomorphism $\theta : S^1 \to S^1$ given by $\theta(x) = x^2$, and let $\alpha : A \to A$ be its dual map, where $A = C(S^1)$. Then $\mathcal{L} : A \to A$ given by $\mathcal{L}(a)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\{y:y^2=x\}} a(y)$ is a transfer operator for α. It is easy to see that the cocycle $\omega : \mathbb{N} \times S^1 \to [0,1]$ associated to the interaction group V induced by $\mathcal{L}$ is given by: $\omega(n,y) = \frac{1}{2^n}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \in S^1$. Let $(i,(B,\beta,F))$ be the minimal dilation of V. Then $B = C(Z)$, where the space Z is the solenoid: $Z = \{z : \mathbb{N} \to S^1/\ z(n) = z(n+1)^2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The inclusion $i : A \to B$ is the dual map of q_0 (we use the notation of Theorem 3.11: thus $q_0 : Z \to S^1$ is given by $q_0(z) = z(0)$). The action β is the one determined by the shift $\beta(b)(z)(n) = b(z(n+1))$. Thus $\hat{\beta}(z)(n) = z(n+1)$, and $q_0\hat{\beta} = \theta q_0$. To find the corresponding conditional expectation $F : B \to A$ we need to describe the measures μ_x on $Z_x = q_0^{-1}(x) = \{z \in Z : z(0) = x\}$. Note that, since every $y \in S^1$ has exactly two roots, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $Z_x(n)$ $(= q_n(Z_x))$ has 2^n elements, namely the roots of $X^{2^n} - x$ in $\mathbb{C}$. If $y \in Z_x(n)$, then $q_n^{-1}(y) = \hat{\beta}_n(Z_y)$, and therefore μ_x is determined by the fact that we must have $\mu_x(q_n^{-1}(y)) = \mu_x(\hat{\beta}_n(Z_y)) = \omega(n,y) = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

We will finish our work by explicitely computing the conditional expectation F on elements of a dense subalgebra of B. Consider the additive group $\mathcal{M} := \{m : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}/\ m(k) = 0$ for all but a finite set of natural numbers $k\}$. Given $m \in \mathcal{M}$ let $b_m \in B$ be given by $b_m = 1$ if $m = 0$ and $b_m(z) = \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} z(k)^{m(k)}$. Then $b_m \in B$, $b_{m_1+m_2} = b_{m_1}b_{m_2}$ and $b_m^* = b_{-m}$. Therefore the Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that the selfadjoint subalgebra $B_0 := \operatorname{span}\{b_m : m \in \mathcal{M}\}$ of B is dense in B. For $m \in \mathcal{M}$ let $\bar{m}$ be defined as $\bar{m} = 0$, if $m = 0$, and $\bar{m} = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : m(k) \neq 0\}$. Then it is clear that we have $b_m(z) = \prod_{k=0}^{\bar{m}} z(\bar{m})^{m(k)2^{\bar{m}-k}} = z(\bar{m})^{2^{\bar{m}}\sum_{k=0}^{\bar{m}} m(k)/2^k}$. Note that the previous formula also works for $m = 0$. Therefore, if $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in S^1$: $F(b_m)(x) = \int_{Z_x} b_m(z)\, d\mu_x(z) = \sum_{y\in Z_x(\bar{m})} \int_{\hat{\beta}_{\bar{m}}(Z_y)} b_m(z)\, d\mu_x(z)$ and therefore we have

$$F(b_m)(x) = \frac{1}{2^{\bar{m}}} \sum_{\{y:\, y^{2^{\bar{m}}}=x\}} y^{2^{\bar{m}}\sum_{k=0}^{\bar{m}} m(k)/2^k} \tag{3.12}$$

Note that $F(b_m) \in C(S^1)$, that is, the right hand side of equation (3.12) is a continuous function of $x \in S^1$.

The main portion of the present research was done during a visit of the author to the Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (Florianópolis, Brazil). The author wishes to thank Ruy Exel and the people of the Departamento de Matemática there for their warm hospitatility.

References

[1] Fernando Abadie, *Enveloping actions and Takai duality for partial actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **197** (2003), 14–67.

[2] Fernando Abadie, *On partial actions and groupoids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 4, 1037–1047.

[3] N. Brownlowe and Iain Raeburn, *Exel's crossed products and relative Cuntz–Pimsner algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **141** (2006), no. 3, 497–508. [arXiv:math.OA/0408324].

[4] E. Blanchard, *Déformations de C^*-algèbres de Hopf*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **124** (1996), 141–215.

[5] Valentin Deaconu, *C^*-algebras of commuting endomorphisms*, Advances in operator algebras and mathematical physics, 47–55, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 5, Theta, Bucharest, 2005. [arXiv:math.OA/0406624].

[6] Ruy Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^*-algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **23** (2003), no. 6, 1733–1750. [arXiv:math.OA/0012084].

[7] Ruy Exel, *Crossed–Products by Finite Index Endomorphisms and KMS states*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), no. 1, 153–188. [arXiv:math.OA/00105195].

[8] R. Exel, *Interactions*, J. Funct. Anal. **244** (2007), no 1., 26–67. [arXiv:math.OA/0409267].

[9] Ruy Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^*-algebra by a semigroup of endomorphisms*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **28** (2008), no 3, 749–789. [arXiv:math.OA/0511061].

[10] Ruy Exel, Jean Renault, *Semigroups of local homeomorphisms and interaction groups*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **27** (2007), no. 6, 1737–1771. [arXiv:math.OA/0608589].

[11] R. Exel and A. Vershik, *C^*-algebras of Irreversible Dynamical Systems*, Canad. J. Math. **58** (2006), no. 1, 39–63. [arXiv:math.OA/0203185].

[12] Marcelo Laca, *From endomorphisms to automorphisms and back: dilations and full corners*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 3, 893–904.

[13] Nadia S. Larsen, *Crossed products by abelian semigroups via transfer operators*, preprint, [arXiv:math.OA/0502307].

[14] Danilo Royer, *The crossed product by a partial endomorphism and the covariance algebra*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **323** (2006), 33–41.

[15] Yasuo Watatani, *Index for C^*-subalgebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1990), no. 424.

Centro de Matemática-FC , Universidad de la República. 11 400 Iguá 4225, Montevideo, URUGUAY.

E-mail address: `fabadie@cmat.edu.uy`